omitted, and by this unwarranted omission they want to victimise innocent people.

The Cochin Contempt of Courts Regulation—Repealing of.

7. **Mr. K. M. Ibrahim** *(Cranganur)*.—Will the Government state:—

(a) whether they intend to repeal their Regulation, XXXII of 1111;

(b) whether in this connection, their attention has ever been drawn to the judicial pronouncement in *Macleod V. St. Aubyn, 1899*, to the effect that 'Proceedings for scandalizing the Court itself were obsolete in England' (Vide A. C. page 549, House of Lords and Privy Council)?

Mr. T. S. Narayana Ayyar *(Secretary to Government)*.—(a) No.

(b) No.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur)*.—(b) Will the Hon'ble Member inform the House whether the Government referred to this particular decision before answering this question?

Mr. T. S. Narayana Ayyar *(Secretary to Government)*.—Ever since I got this question I have been trying to get a copy of the Book from the Chief Court and every time I asked for it I was told that it was in the hands of one or other of the Hon'ble Judges of the Chief Court.

Mr. K. M. Ibrahim *(Cranganur)*.— Why can't you not keep one copy in the Huzur library?

Complaints filed by Chozhi and Krishnan—Special First Class Magistrate's Court—Cranganur.

8. **Mr. K. M. Ibrahim** *(Cranganur)*.—Adverting to the assertions of the Cochin Government, namely, 'It was not thought necessary to prosecute the complainants, because there was some element of truth in it. The enquiry disclosed that it was non-cognisable offence'. 'All the rest except a minor assault are false'. (Vide page 311 of the Legislative Council Proceedings, dated 2nd August 1934). Will the Government state whether any court in Cochin has held that the incident, alleged

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly